DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

MAY & DUNNE

JOSEPH E. DUNNE III COLBY M. MAY*

'ALSO ADMITTED IN VIRGINIA

CHARTERED
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1000 THOMAS JEFFERSON STREET, N.W.

SUITE 520

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20007 (202) 298-6345

January 10, 1994

RICHARD G. GAY

TELECOPIER NO. (202) 200-6375

HAND DELIVER
RECEIVED

JAN 1 0 1994

William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RE: <u>Trinity Broadcasting of Florida, Inc.</u>, For Renewal of License of WHFT(TV), Miami, Florida, MM Docket No. 93-75

Dear Mr. Caton:

I have enclosed an additional five copies of a Joint Motion to Set Procedural Dates which was originally filed on Friday, January 7, 1994. Due to a clerical error, the required number of copies were not included with this filing.

We apologize for any inconvenience caused by this omission.

Respectfully submitted,

MAY & DUNNE, CHARTERED

oseph E. Dunne II
Attorney for Trinity
Broadcasting of Florida, Inc.

JED:gmcB26

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE

Federal Communications Commission

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In Re: Applications of	MM Docket No. 93-
TRIWITY BROADCASTING OF FLORIDA,) INC.	File No. BRCT-91
For Renewal of License of) Station WHFT(TV), Miami, Florida)	
and)	
GLEWDALE BROADCASTING COMPANY For Construction Permit Miami, Florida)	File No. BPCT-911227KE

TO: The Honorable Joseph Chachkin Administrative Law Judge

JOINT MOTION TO SET PROCEDURAL DATES

Trinity Broadcasting of Florida, Inc. ("TBF"), Trinity Christian Center of Santa Ana, Inc., d/b/a Trinity Broadcasting Network ("TBN"), National Minority TV, Inc. ("NMTV"), Glendale Broadcasting Company ("Glendale"), and the Mass Media Bureau ("MMB") (collectively referred to as "Movants"), by their undersigned attorneys and pursuant to section 1.294 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, hereby respectfully request the Presiding Officer to specify the dates set forth below as the procedural dates governing trial of the issue specified against Glendale ("Glendale Issue") in the Presiding Officer's Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 93M-631 (released October 4, 1993). Movants represent all the parties to this proceeding which have an interest

No. of Copies rec'd O

in participating in the trial of the Glendale Issue 1/ in this docket. TBN, MMB, and Glendale also are the only parties involved in the renewal hearing for Channel 63, Monroe, Georgia, Trinity Broadcasting Center of Santa Ana, Inc. d/b/a Trinity Broadcasting Network, Inc., et al., MM Docket No. 93-156 ("Monroe Proceeding"). As explained in greater detail below, the Movants are concurrently filing a similar motion in the Monroe Proceeding. As grounds for their request, the Movants show and state as follows.

- 1. In his Memorandum Opinion and Order the Presiding Officer added the Glendale ("Glendale Issue") but deferred setting the procedural schedule until the end of the first scheduled session of hearing in the above-captioned matter. Movants have recently concluded hearing sessions of almost four weeks. Further hearing sessions are scheduled to commence on January 10. The Movants believe that the upcoming hearing session will consume at least two weeks. Upon the conclusion of the upcoming hearing session in the Miami proceeding, the same parties (and counsel) will almost immediately be required to become deeply involved in complying with the existing procedural schedule set by the Presiding Officer in the Monroe Proceeding. See, Order, FCC 93-528 (released August 17, 1993).
- 2. It is apparent that no one could have anticipated in August 1993, when the Presiding Judge established the existing

^{1/}Counsel for The Spanish American League Against Discrimination ("SALAD") has authorized the Movants to represent that it has no plans to participate in any scheduled hearing devoted to the Glendale Issue.

procedural schedule in the Monroe case, that the hearing in the Miami proceeding would continue into 1994. Movants submit that the discovery and litigation of the Monroe proceeding under the existing Monroe procedural schedule will be enormously burdensome, if not entirely unworkable, given the limited staffs of the respective counsel involved in both cases.

- 3. Accordingly, Movants request that the Presiding Judge adopt the procedural schedule below relating to the Glendale issue. Movants are filing concurrently herewith a similar request in the procedural schedule in that case. Movants believe that coordinating the schedules in the Miami and Monroe cases will facilitate the expeditious resolution of each proceeding and limit any conflicts that might arise with respect to demands on the time and resources of counsel, the Presiding Judge, and the principals. It should be noted that under the proposed dual schedules, the hearing in the Monroe proceeding would commence immediately upon completion of the hearing in the Miami proceeding.
- 4. The Movants therefore urge the following consolidated procedural schedule for the Presiding Officer's consideration.

Date	Mismi Docket	Honroe Docket
2/11/94	Request For Documents	
2/18/94	Objections to Document Requested	
2/25/94	Motions to Compel	
3/11/94	Ruling by Presiding Officer (Estimated)	
3/18/94	Production of Documents	Submission of Non Public Witnesses Affidavits
4/29/94	Completion of Discovery	Same

5/20/94	Exchange of Direct Case Exhibits	Same
5/27/94	Notification of Witnesses for Cross Examination	Same
6/3/94	Objections to Notifications for Cross Examination	Same
6/13/94	Commencement of Hearing	
6/?/94		Commencement of Hearing Immediately Upon Completion of Miami Docket

Given the procedural schedule in the Monroe Proceeding 5. the Movants respectfully request that the Presiding Officer rule on this Joint Motion as soon as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

MAY & DUNNE, CHARTERED Suite 520 1000 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007

(202) 298-6345

Nathaniel F. Emmons, Esq.

Howard A. Topel, Esq. Christopher A. Holt, Esq.

MULLIN, RHYNE, MONORS & TOPEL, P.C 1000 Connecticut Avenue, N.W, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 659-4700

> Attorneys for Trinity Broadcasting of Florida, Inc.

and

By:

Lewis I. Cohen, Esq.

John J. Schauble, Esq.

COMEN & BERFIELD 1129 20th Street, N.W., Suite 507 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 466-8565

By: A. Bechtel, Esq.

PECHTEL & COLE, CHARTERED 1901 L Street, N.W., Suite 250 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 833-4190

Attorneys for Glendale Broadcasting Company

and

By: W. Shook, Esq. //
Sary P. Schonman, Esq.

Attorneys for the Mass Media Bureau

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Hearing Branch
2025 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 632-6402

January 7, 1994

CENTIFICAGE OF SERVICE

I, Glinda M. Corbin, a paralegal in the law offices of May & Dunne, Chartered, hereby certify that I have caused to be sent this 7th day of January 1994, via first class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, a copy of the foregoing JOINT MOTION TO SET PROCEDURAL DATES to the following:

*The Honorable Joseph Chackin Administrative Law Judge Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N.W., Room 226 Washington, D.C. 20554

*James Shook, Esq.
Gary Schonman, Esq.
Hearing Branch, Enforcement Division
Mass Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7212
Washington, D.C. 20554

Lewis I. Cohen, Esq.
John J. Schauble, Esq.
Cohen and Berfield, P.C.
1129 20th Street, N.W., Suite 507
Washington, D.C. 20036
(Counsel for Glendale Broadcasting Company)

Gene A. Bechtel, Esq. Bechtel & Cole, Chartered 1901 L Street, N.W., Suite 250 Washington, D.C. 20036 (Counsel for Glandale Broadcasting Company)

David Honig, Esq.
Law Offices of David E. Honig
1800 N.W. 187th Street
Miami, Florida 33056
(Counsel for Spanish American League Against
Discrimination)

By: Minda M. Coebin

Flinda M. Corbin